

FEBRUARY 1, 2024 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2026

**COMPARISON OF WEST VIRGINIA
MANAGED CARE ORGANIZATION
ENCOUNTER DATA TO CASH
DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE HEALTH
PLAN OF WEST VIRGINIA (THP)**



MAY 14, 2026





**TABLE OF
CONTENTS**

STUDY PURPOSE	3
SUMMARY	4
ENCOUNTER DATA ANALYSIS	5
DATA ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	6
THP MHT ENTIRE PLAN MONTHLY TABLE	8
THP MHT SUMMARY REPORTING CHARTS	9
THP MHT FEE-FOR-SERVICE MONTHLY TABLE	10
THP MHT SKYGEN DENTAL MONTHLY TABLE	11
THP MHT SUPERIOR VISION MONTHLY TABLE	12
THP MHT CHIP ENTIRE PLAN MONTHLY TABLE	13
THP MHT CHIP SUMMARY REPORTING CHARTS	14
THP MHT CHIP FEE-FOR-SERVICE MONTHLY TABLE.....	15
THP MHT CHIP SKYGEN DENTAL MONTHLY TABLE	16
THP MHT CHIP SUPERIOR VISION MONTHLY TABLE	17
APPENDIX A – DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS	18
APPENDIX B – ANALYSIS	20
APPENDIX C – DATA ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS	21





The West Virginia Department of Human Services, Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) requires that each of the contracted Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) submit encounter data to the BMS' fiscal agent contractor (FAC), Gainwell Technologies (Gainwell). To ensure complete encounter data is being received, Myers and Stauffer performs bi-monthly encounter reconciliations. As part of this process, Myers and Stauffer analyzes encounter data that has been submitted by the MCOs to Gainwell and completes a comparison of the encounters to cash disbursement journals (CDJs) provided by each MCO. For purposes of this analysis, "encounter data" are claims that have been paid by MCOs or delegated vendors (e.g., vision and dental) to health care providers that have rendered health care services to members enrolled with the MCO.

Myers and Stauffer is working closely with BMS and the MCO to identify deficiencies and propose solutions that will result in high quality and reliable encounter data being submitted and available to the state agency to measure and monitor its managed care program. Validated encounter data has many uses such as utilization by actuaries as part of their rate setting analyses as well as fulfilling the federal reporting requirements related to the Medicaid Managed Care Rule, to provide program management and oversight, and for tracking, accounting, and other ad hoc analyses.

Sections 5.9.5.1 and 5.9.5.5 of the contract between BMS and the MCO through June 30, 2026 (the current reporting period) states,

"The MCO must submit complete and accurate encounters in the form and manner described in 42 CFR 438.818 and in BMS guidance, including the Encounter Companion Guides for Professional, Institution, and Dental claims posted on the State MMIS website. Encounter data must follow the format and data elements as required by the HIPAA-compliant 837 transaction for medical and dental claims. ... The MCO must submit at least ninety-six percent (96%) of all encounter data, including those of Subcontractors, both for the original claim and any adjustment or void within thirty (30) calendar days after the adjudication date."

"BMS or its Agent will validate encounter claims submissions according to the CDJ provided by the MCO and its applicable Subcontractors. If the MCO or its Subcontractors fail to submit complete encounter data, as measured by a minimum completion percentage of ninety-six percent (96%) when encounters are compared to cash disbursements for the MCO and its Subcontractors, the MCO may be subject to liquidated damages or other available remedies."

The bi-monthly encounter reconciliations also help fulfill part of the work requirements set forth in Activity 3 of the Center for Medicare and Medicaid's (CMS) External Quality Review (EQR) Protocol 5, which require a determination of the completeness, accuracy, and quality of the encounter data being submitted by each MCO.

Our work was performed in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) professional standards for consulting engagements. We were not engaged to, nor did we perform, an audit, examination, or review services; accordingly, we express no opinion or conclusion related to the procedures performed or the information and documentation we reviewed. In addition, our engagement was not specifically designed for, and should not be relied on, to disclose errors, fraud, or other illegal acts that may exist.

The results of our engagement and this report are intended only for the internal use of the West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services and should not be used for any other purpose.



WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison



SUMMARY

BMS requested that, for this study, we review the MCO's entire plan, each delegated vendor, and fee-for-service (non-vendor) paid encounters to determine if the paid encounters meet the state contract minimum completeness requirement of **96 percent** when compared to the CDJ files. The Mountain Health Trust (MHT) Medicaid and CHIP encounters and CDJ files utilized in this study met the following criteria:

- Encounters were paid within the reporting period of February 1, 2024 through January 31, 2026;
- CDJ transactions had payment dates within the reporting period of February 1, 2024 through January 31, 2026;
- Encounters were received, accepted, and finalized by the FAC and transmitted to Myers and Stauffer through March 27, 2026.

Table A — The Health Plan MHT Cumulative Completion Totals and Percentages

Description	Entire Plan	Fee-for-Service (Non-Vendor)	Delegated Vendor	
			Skygen Dental (Dental Services)	Superior Vision (Vision Services)
Encounter Total (FAC reported)	\$886,212,153	\$839,579,384	\$45,054,954	\$1,577,816
Total Encounter Adjustments (\$)	(\$49,359,239)	(\$48,757,845)	(\$536,336)	(\$65,058)
Total Encounter Adjustments (%)	-5.56%	-5.80%	-1.19%	-4.12%
Net Encounter Total	\$836,852,915	\$790,821,539	\$44,518,618	\$1,512,758
CDJ Total	\$827,868,765	\$781,810,716	\$44,544,568	\$1,513,482
Variance	\$8,984,150	\$9,010,824	(\$25,950)	(\$724)
Completion (%)	101.08%	101.15%	99.94%	99.95%
100% Limited [^] Completion (%)	99.99%	100.00%		
Contract Minimum Completeness Requirement (%)	96.00%			

[^] - To avoid overstating the Entire Plan MHT results in situations where the MCO or an individual vendor's cumulative completion percentage exceeds 100 percent, we have decreased the MHT encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.

Table B — The Health Plan MHT CHIP Cumulative Completion Totals and Percentages

Description	Entire Plan	Fee-for-Service (Non-Vendor)	Delegated Vendor	
			Skygen Dental (Dental Services)	Superior Vision (Vision Services)
Encounter Total (FAC reported)	\$25,378,904	\$20,365,835	\$4,637,085	\$375,984
Total Encounter Adjustments (\$)	(\$1,626,294)	(\$1,410,133)	(\$126,085)	(\$90,077)
Total Encounter Adjustments (%)	-6.40%	-6.92%	-2.71%	-23.95%
Net Encounter Total	\$23,752,610	\$18,955,702	\$4,511,001	\$285,907
CDJ Total	\$23,540,962	\$18,783,057	\$4,519,658	\$238,247
Variance	\$211,647	\$172,645	(\$8,658)	\$47,660
Completion (%)	100.89%	100.91%	99.80%	120.00%
100% Limited [^] Completion (%)	99.96%	100.00%		100.00%
Contract Minimum Completeness Requirement (%)	96.00%			

[^] - To avoid overstating the Entire Plan CHIP results in situations where the MCO or an individual vendor's cumulative completion percentage exceeds 100 percent, we have decreased the CHIP encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.





ENCOUNTER DATA ANALYSIS

For this study, Myers and Stauffer analyzes the encounter data that is submitted by the MCOs to the FAC, Gainwell Technologies, and loaded into the FAC Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). Encounters submitted by any MCO that were rejected by the FAC for errors in submission or other reasons are not transmitted to Myers and Stauffer.

Furthermore, Myers and Stauffer analyzes the encounter data from the FAC and makes the following adjustments. Tables C and D below outlines the impact of applying these encounter analysis adjustments to the encounter paid amounts, when compared to the raw data received.

1. Encounters identified as denied by the MMIS with \$0 MCO paid amounts are excluded from the encounter totals. Additionally, encounter voids with missing/invalid former ICN values or with original encounters already offset by backouts are excluded from the encounter totals.
2. Myers and Stauffer identified potential duplicate encounters using our encounter review logic. Based on a comparison to the CDJ files, we noted some are actual duplicate submissions, and some are replacement encounter records without a matching void (i.e. calculated voids). We have reviewed any MCO calculated void and duplicate response files submitted to us prior to April 3, 2026. The accepted responses have been incorporated into the analysis for this report. Responses requiring further explanation have not been added to this report and will be resubmitted to the MCO.
3. Our potential duplicate and calculated void process attempts to identify and remove encounters that appear to be duplicated. Encounters paid by the MCO, but denied by the FAC were included in both our potential duplicate and calculated void processes. It should be noted that the inclusion of denied encounters by either the FAC or the MCO can artificially inflate the percentages of encounter counts and paid amounts being removed. In the case of encounters denied by the FAC, some of these encounters may have already been identified and flagged by the FAC as being duplicates.

Table C — Myers and Stauffer LC's Adjustments to The Health Plan MHT Encounters

Description	Encounter Count	Paid Amount	Paid Amount (% of Total*)
Total Encounter Amount (FAC Reported)	4,604,766	\$886,212,153	100.00%
<i>Adjustment Type</i>			
Denied	(356,100)	\$20,803,549	2.34%
Calculated Void	(74,030)	(\$13,593,003)	-1.53%
Duplicate	(179,715)	(\$56,569,785)	-6.38%
<i>Total Adjustments Made</i>	<i>(609,845)</i>	<i>(\$49,359,239)</i>	<i>-5.56%</i>
Net Encounter Amounts	3,994,921	\$836,852,915	94.44%

Table D — Myers and Stauffer LC's Adjustments to The Health Plan MHT CHIP Encounters

Description	Encounter Count	Paid Amount	Paid Amount (% of Total*)
Total Encounter Amount (FAC Reported)	152,676	\$25,378,904	100.00%
<i>Adjustment Type</i>			
Denied	(9,653)	\$397,644	1.56%
Calculated Void	(4,488)	(\$594,683)	-2.34%
Duplicate	(5,209)	(\$1,429,256)	-5.63%
<i>Total Adjustments Made</i>	<i>(19,350)</i>	<i>(\$1,626,294)</i>	<i>-6.40%</i>
Net Encounter Amounts	133,326	\$23,752,610	93.60%

* - Percentage ratios are rounded down for each adjustment type and may not add up to the total percentage of adjustments made for this reporting period. Please see data analysis assumption number 9 on page 21 for further explanation.





DATA ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

During the course of this analysis, Myers and Stauffer identified potential data issues that may impact the completion percentages for specific delegated vendors and/or fee-for-service (non-vendor). **Section A** details payor specific issues related to cumulative completion percentages outside the targeted range, while **Section B** notes outstanding payor specific data issues that THP may need to continue to work to identify and resolve. **Section C** notes data issues that may impact all payors to some extent (non-vendor and vendor).

Please reference Tables 1 through 8 starting on page 8 for THP's MHT and CHIP entire plan, delegated vendor, and fee-for-service (non-vendor) reconciliation period tables. These tables contain detailed reconciliation totals, completion percentages, and encounter analysis adjustments made by Myers and Stauffer.

SECTION A – Non-vendor and/or vendor data issues that may cause completion percentages outside the targeted range (below 96 percent or above 100 percent):

1. **Fee-for-Service (non-vendor) (Tables 2 and 6):** The THP fee-for-service MHT and CHIP cumulative completion percentages are above 100 percent due to potentially missing or misallocated encounter sequences, particularly voids. We noted instances of missing adjustment or void encounters for the high completion percentage months.
 - Additionally, we noted instances of encounter adjustments (frequency code 7) where the corresponding backout or negative offsetting record for the original/replaced encounter is not created by the MMIS and the original/replaced claim ID is not identified (as noted in data issue 5). This issue may be inflating the encounter totals in situations where the original record and adjustment are not identified as calculated voids or potential duplicates.
 - **THP has identified scenarios where a replacement encounter is created and submitted as a frequency 1 original claim instead of a replacement or adjustment. We recommend THP continue to work with BMS and Gainwell to identify and submit any outstanding encounter voids.**
2. **Superior Vision (Tables 4 and 8):** The Superior Vision CHIP cumulative completion percentage, and several MHT monthly completion percentages, are above 100 percent due to potentially missing or misallocated vision encounter sequences, when compared to CDJ data. THP submitted a large volume of missing historical encounter records for Superior Vision in December 2024. However, in many cases, only the final iteration of the claim was included in our encounter data extracts prior to December 2024. This is causing some monthly payment allocation differences between the CDJ files, which include payments for each adjudication instance (originals, adjustments, and voids), and the encounter data, which may only include the final paid date and amount.
 - Many negative CHIP adjustments for claims paid beginning in January 2021 took place in May 2024 and June 2024, causing the negative and very inflated monthly completion percentages for May 2024 and June 2024 respectively. Since many of the original claims were missing from the CHIP encounter data, the full final paid amounts for these claims are being allocated to May 2024 and June 2024 encounter totals instead of just the negative adjustment amounts. The CHIP cumulative completion percentage is inflated because many of the initial payments in the CDJ files are now outside the reporting period.
 - **We recommend that THP work with Superior Vision to ensure that all encounter sequences are being submitted, including voids.**



SECTION B – Additional non-vendor and/or vendor data issues that currently may not impact compliance:

3. **Skygen Dental (Tables 3 and 7):** While the cumulative Skygen Dental MHT and CHIP completion percentages are in compliance, there appear to be several monthly completion percentages above 100 percent due to potentially missing encounter void and adjustment submissions.
 - We also noted instances of potentially missing CDJ transactions that could be contributing to the inflated June 2024, August 2024, March 2025, and May 2025 monthly completion percentages for MHT.
 - Additionally, it appears that unmatching program identifiers may be contributing to the inflated December 2025 monthly completion percentage for CHIP, but are not having a cumulative impact (as noted in data issue 7).

➤ **We recommend that THP continue to work with Skygen Dental to ensure that all encounter sequences, including voids, are being submitted.**

SECTION C – General data issues that may be contributing to non-vendor and vendor variances:

4. **Encounter Data Improvement Projects (Tables 1 through 8):** Gainwell has been working with the MCOs on several change requests to improve the quality of the West Virginia encounter data (e.g., CR 48689 and CR 45225). Gainwell developed detailed 835 response files to provide to the MCOs for improved tracking of their encounter data submissions, including any rejections and denial reasons. These response files are being leveraged to remove duplicate records from the encounter data and assist in correcting any MMIS rejections or denials, where possible. Gainwell updated the encounter companion guides to further clarify their expectations regarding the patient account numbers and original claim IDs submitted on adjustments and void encounters. The impacted MCOs made system updates based on this guidance in March 2026. We expect the number of calculated voids and duplicates identified during the encounter reconciliation process will begin to decrease as a result of these updates and are working with the State, Gainwell, and the MCOs on historical corrections to remove duplicate, replaced, or voided encounter records.
5. **Encounter Replacements and Voids (Tables 1 through 8):** We have noted instances where the MCO submits an encounter as a replacement/adjustment (frequency code 7) or void (frequency code 8), but the associated backout (offsetting negative record) for the original record is not included in our data extracts and the replaced encounter record is not identified. Based on our discussions with Gainwell, if the replacement/adjustment or void encounter cannot be tied to a previously submitted encounter record or the original record was denied by the MMIS, the original/replaced encounter claim is not identified and a backout is not created. Gainwell also noted that if the patient account number for an adjustment is different than the original encounter, the adjustment will be denied by the MMIS and a backout will not be created. These issues are inflating the number of records we are identifying as calculated voids or potential duplicates and may be contributing to any inflated completion percentages. However, Myers and Stauffer and the MCOs are working with Gainwell to identify and correct duplicate encounter records (as noted in data issue number 4).
6. **Encounter Void Paid Dates (Tables 1 through 8):** The paid dates we receive from the MMIS for encounter voids without associated adjustments reflect the paid dates of the original encounters and not the actual provider recoupment dates. We are currently unable to accurately estimate these void recoupment dates due to inconsistencies in the timing of void encounter submissions. While we will continue to discuss data extract improvements with Gainwell, we are allocating these voids to the MCO paid date provided in the extracts when an adjustment paid date is not available. Although this issue does not seem to impact the cumulative completion percentages, it may contribute to some monthly completion percentages above 100 percent or below 96 percent when the encounter void paid dates do not fall within the same month as the original encounter payments.
7. **Program Identification (Tables 1 through 8):** We have noted instances where the program identification provided by the MCOs in their CDJs (MHT or CHIP) does not match the program information in the encounter data. These mismatched program identifiers may contribute to some of the MHT and CHIP monthly variances, but do not appear to significantly impact the cumulative completion percentages.



THP MHT ENTIRE PLAN MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 1 — The Health Plan of West Virginia Mountain Health Trust (Entire Plan)							
Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$32,248,271	\$529,942	1.64%	\$32,778,213	\$31,469,410	\$1,308,803	104.15%
March 2024	\$34,995,615	\$435,166	1.24%	\$35,430,781	\$33,370,544	\$2,060,237	106.17%
April 2024	\$27,513,010	(\$2,879,054)	-10.46%	\$24,633,955	\$25,870,474	(\$1,236,519)	95.22%
May 2024	\$43,729,032	\$344,001	0.78%	\$44,073,033	\$43,715,755	\$357,278	100.81%
June 2024	\$30,437,270	\$41,470	0.13%	\$30,478,740	\$30,266,456	\$212,284	100.70%
July 2024	\$27,743,287	\$117,291	0.42%	\$27,860,578	\$27,514,336	\$346,242	101.25%
August 2024	\$35,890,699	(\$48,481)	-0.13%	\$35,842,218	\$33,625,455	\$2,216,764	106.59%
September 2024	\$37,379,453	(\$3,038,416)	-8.12%	\$34,341,036	\$33,442,818	\$898,219	102.68%
October 2024	\$40,867,484	(\$786,711)	-1.92%	\$40,080,773	\$40,732,039	(\$651,265)	98.40%
November 2024	\$46,057,909	(\$3,191,387)	-6.92%	\$42,866,522	\$41,070,204	\$1,796,318	104.37%
December 2024	\$31,882,476	(\$1,408,908)	-4.41%	\$30,473,569	\$30,200,813	\$272,756	100.90%
January 2025	\$30,908,367	(\$1,863,199)	-6.02%	\$29,045,168	\$28,662,840	\$382,328	101.33%
February 2025	\$31,203,744	(\$1,326,372)	-4.25%	\$29,877,372	\$29,578,923	\$298,448	101.00%
March 2025	\$36,528,074	(\$2,008,412)	-5.49%	\$34,519,663	\$34,479,400	\$40,262	100.11%
April 2025	\$40,586,213	(\$3,126,518)	-7.70%	\$37,459,695	\$37,816,039	(\$356,344)	99.05%
May 2025	\$43,572,644	(\$1,859,668)	-4.26%	\$41,712,976	\$40,825,444	\$887,532	102.17%
June 2025	\$31,949,808	(\$1,304,670)	-4.08%	\$30,645,138	\$30,222,946	\$422,192	101.39%
July 2025	\$45,407,139	(\$11,489,963)	-25.30%	\$33,917,176	\$32,665,161	\$1,252,015	103.83%
August 2025	\$39,681,831	(\$1,949,784)	-4.91%	\$37,732,047	\$36,847,446	\$884,602	102.40%
September 2025	\$39,789,684	(\$2,401,964)	-6.03%	\$37,387,720	\$38,173,932	(\$786,213)	97.94%
October 2025	\$56,113,948	(\$5,831,994)	-10.39%	\$50,281,954	\$51,142,335	(\$860,381)	98.31%
November 2025	\$34,205,141	(\$1,278,624)	-3.73%	\$32,926,517	\$32,498,696	\$427,821	101.31%
December 2025	\$34,391,815	(\$2,785,738)	-8.10%	\$31,606,077	\$31,202,035	\$404,042	101.29%
January 2026	\$33,129,239	(\$2,247,247)	-6.78%	\$30,881,992	\$32,475,266	(\$1,593,273)	95.09%
Cumulative Totals	\$886,212,153	(\$49,359,239)	-5.56%	\$836,852,915	\$827,868,765	\$8,984,150	101.08%
100% Limited^ Cumulative Totals				\$827,842,091	\$827,868,765	(\$26,674)	99.99%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

^ - Since the MHT cumulative completion percentage for the MCO and/or delegated vendor(s) exceed 100 percent, we have decreased the Entire Plan MHT encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs to avoid overstating the Entire Plan results. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.



THP MHT SUMMARY REPORTING CHARTS

Chart 1. Monthly CDJ totals and encounter submissions for THP MHT's entire plan





Chart 2. THP MHT's monthly encounter submissions expressed as a percentage of payments submitted to the FAC to reported MCO CDJ payments for the entire plan



[^] - To avoid overstating the Entire Plan results in situations where the MCO or an individual vendor's cumulative completion percentage exceeds 100 percent, we have decreased the MHT encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.





THP MHT FEE-FOR-SERVICE MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 2 — THP MHT Fee-for-Service (Non-Vendor)

Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$30,238,424	\$554,774	1.83%	\$30,793,198	\$29,466,374	\$1,326,824	104.50%
March 2024	\$32,737,757	\$456,170	1.39%	\$33,193,927	\$31,096,933	\$2,096,994	106.74%
April 2024	\$25,729,051	(\$2,867,662)	-11.14%	\$22,861,389	\$24,101,835	(\$1,240,446)	94.85%
May 2024	\$41,386,273	\$350,666	0.84%	\$41,736,939	\$41,370,718	\$366,221	100.88%
June 2024	\$28,785,415	\$52,295	0.18%	\$28,837,711	\$28,628,120	\$209,591	100.73%
July 2024	\$26,192,038	\$131,124	0.50%	\$26,323,161	\$25,980,556	\$342,605	101.31%
August 2024	\$33,576,703	(\$37,172)	-0.11%	\$33,539,532	\$31,332,440	\$2,207,092	107.04%
September 2024	\$35,502,583	(\$2,999,729)	-8.44%	\$32,502,854	\$31,599,252	\$903,602	102.85%
October 2024	\$38,838,715	(\$784,663)	-2.02%	\$38,054,052	\$38,705,785	(\$651,733)	98.31%
November 2024	\$43,644,027	(\$3,179,170)	-7.28%	\$40,464,857	\$38,659,646	\$1,805,211	104.66%
December 2024	\$30,096,290	(\$1,402,204)	-4.65%	\$28,694,086	\$28,427,947	\$266,140	100.93%
January 2025	\$29,532,349	(\$1,856,865)	-6.28%	\$27,675,484	\$27,302,372	\$373,112	101.36%
February 2025	\$29,492,250	(\$1,322,299)	-4.48%	\$28,169,951	\$27,878,754	\$291,197	101.04%
March 2025	\$34,690,130	(\$1,999,296)	-5.76%	\$32,690,834	\$32,653,526	\$37,308	100.11%
April 2025	\$38,602,450	(\$3,115,234)	-8.07%	\$35,487,216	\$35,823,891	(\$336,675)	99.06%
May 2025	\$41,178,471	(\$1,833,795)	-4.45%	\$39,344,676	\$38,469,695	\$874,981	102.27%
June 2025	\$30,267,164	(\$1,245,502)	-4.11%	\$29,021,662	\$28,597,400	\$424,261	101.48%
July 2025	\$43,965,622	(\$11,470,576)	-26.08%	\$32,495,046	\$31,242,964	\$1,252,082	104.00%
August 2025	\$37,216,236	(\$1,793,789)	-4.81%	\$35,422,447	\$34,540,223	\$882,224	102.55%
September 2025	\$37,836,497	(\$2,394,998)	-6.32%	\$35,441,499	\$36,229,350	(\$787,851)	97.82%
October 2025	\$53,478,171	(\$5,699,704)	-10.65%	\$47,778,467	\$48,685,960	(\$907,493)	98.13%
November 2025	\$32,308,413	(\$1,276,738)	-3.95%	\$31,031,675	\$30,606,097	\$425,579	101.39%
December 2025	\$32,754,283	(\$2,783,272)	-8.49%	\$29,971,011	\$29,545,857	\$425,154	101.43%
January 2026	\$31,530,071	(\$2,240,206)	-7.10%	\$29,289,865	\$30,865,020	(\$1,575,155)	94.89%
Cumulative Totals	\$839,579,384	(\$48,757,845)	-5.80%	\$790,821,539	\$781,810,716	\$9,010,824	101.15%
100% Limited^ Cumulative Totals				\$781,810,716	\$781,810,716	\$0	100.00%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

^ - The fee-for-service (non-vendor) MHT cumulative completion percentage was limited to a maximum of 100 percent by decreasing the encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.



THP MHT SKYGEN DENTAL MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 3 — THP MHT Skygen Dental (Dental)							
Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$1,929,447	(\$7,996)	-0.41%	\$1,921,451	\$1,939,506	(\$18,056)	99.06%
March 2024	\$2,177,392	(\$5,758)	-0.26%	\$2,171,635	\$2,208,371	(\$36,736)	98.33%
April 2024	\$1,706,367	(\$10,509)	-0.61%	\$1,695,859	\$1,691,756	\$4,102	100.24%
May 2024	\$2,280,970	(\$5,982)	-0.26%	\$2,274,988	\$2,283,681	(\$8,693)	99.61%
June 2024	\$1,599,692	(\$8,084)	-0.50%	\$1,591,608	\$1,589,086	\$2,522	100.15%
July 2024	\$1,492,652	(\$13,345)	-0.89%	\$1,479,306	\$1,475,669	\$3,637	100.24%
August 2024	\$2,250,663	(\$10,433)	-0.46%	\$2,240,230	\$2,230,489	\$9,742	100.43%
September 2024	\$1,780,232	(\$17,320)	-0.97%	\$1,762,913	\$1,768,296	(\$5,383)	99.69%
October 2024	\$1,932,168	(\$1,791)	-0.09%	\$1,930,377	\$1,929,679	\$699	100.03%
November 2024	\$2,348,919	(\$11,977)	-0.50%	\$2,336,942	\$2,345,834	(\$8,893)	99.62%
December 2024	\$1,726,580	(\$5,539)	-0.32%	\$1,721,040	\$1,712,840	\$8,200	100.47%
January 2025	\$1,333,569	(\$5,763)	-0.43%	\$1,327,806	\$1,318,589	\$9,216	100.69%
February 2025	\$1,656,299	(\$3,695)	-0.22%	\$1,652,604	\$1,645,560	\$7,043	100.42%
March 2025	\$1,776,666	(\$8,668)	-0.48%	\$1,767,999	\$1,765,150	\$2,849	100.16%
April 2025	\$1,896,691	(\$10,930)	-0.57%	\$1,885,762	\$1,905,732	(\$19,970)	98.95%
May 2025	\$2,340,999	(\$25,508)	-1.08%	\$2,315,491	\$2,302,898	\$12,594	100.54%
June 2025	\$1,632,746	(\$58,910)	-3.60%	\$1,573,836	\$1,575,888	(\$2,052)	99.86%
July 2025	\$1,388,417	(\$19,276)	-1.38%	\$1,369,141	\$1,369,273	(\$132)	99.99%
August 2025	\$2,395,445	(\$155,884)	-6.50%	\$2,239,561	\$2,237,376	\$2,185	100.09%
September 2025	\$1,872,614	(\$6,966)	-0.37%	\$1,865,648	\$1,864,342	\$1,306	100.07%
October 2025	\$2,568,988	(\$131,671)	-5.12%	\$2,437,317	\$2,390,055	\$47,262	101.97%
November 2025	\$1,837,846	(\$1,163)	-0.06%	\$1,836,682	\$1,834,286	\$2,397	100.13%
December 2025	\$1,572,635	(\$2,316)	-0.14%	\$1,570,319	\$1,591,281	(\$20,962)	98.68%
January 2026	\$1,556,956	(\$6,852)	-0.44%	\$1,550,104	\$1,568,931	(\$18,827)	98.79%
Cumulative Totals	\$45,054,954	(\$536,336)	-1.19%	\$44,518,618	\$44,544,568	(\$25,950)	99.94%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison



THP MHT SUPERIOR VISION MONTHLY TABLE

Table 4 — THP MHT Superior Vision (Vision)							
Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$80,401	(\$16,836)	-20.93%	\$63,565	\$63,530	\$35	100.05%
March 2024	\$80,466	(\$15,246)	-18.94%	\$65,220	\$65,240	(\$21)	99.96%
April 2024	\$77,591	(\$883)	-1.13%	\$76,708	\$76,883	(\$175)	99.77%
May 2024	\$61,789	(\$683)	-1.10%	\$61,106	\$61,356	(\$250)	99.59%
June 2024	\$52,162	(\$2,741)	-5.25%	\$49,421	\$49,250	\$171	100.34%
July 2024	\$58,598	(\$488)	-0.83%	\$58,110	\$58,110	\$0	100.00%
August 2024	\$63,332	(\$876)	-1.38%	\$62,456	\$62,526	(\$70)	99.88%
September 2024	\$96,638	(\$21,368)	-22.11%	\$75,270	\$75,270	\$0	100.00%
October 2024	\$96,602	(\$258)	-0.26%	\$96,344	\$96,575	(\$231)	99.76%
November 2024	\$64,963	(\$239)	-0.36%	\$64,723	\$64,723	\$0	100.00%
December 2024	\$59,607	(\$1,165)	-1.95%	\$58,442	\$60,026	(\$1,584)	97.36%
January 2025	\$42,449	(\$571)	-1.34%	\$41,878	\$41,878	\$0	100.00%
February 2025	\$55,194	(\$378)	-0.68%	\$54,817	\$54,609	\$208	100.38%
March 2025	\$61,278	(\$448)	-0.73%	\$60,830	\$60,724	\$106	100.17%
April 2025	\$87,072	(\$354)	-0.40%	\$86,718	\$86,416	\$302	100.34%
May 2025	\$53,174	(\$366)	-0.68%	\$52,808	\$52,852	(\$43)	99.91%
June 2025	\$49,898	(\$258)	-0.51%	\$49,640	\$49,657	(\$17)	99.96%
July 2025	\$53,100	(\$110)	-0.20%	\$52,989	\$52,924	\$65	100.12%
August 2025	\$70,150	(\$111)	-0.15%	\$70,039	\$69,846	\$193	100.27%
September 2025	\$80,573	\$0	0.00%	\$80,573	\$80,241	\$332	100.41%
October 2025	\$66,789	(\$619)	-0.92%	\$66,170	\$66,320	(\$150)	99.77%
November 2025	\$58,883	(\$723)	-1.22%	\$58,160	\$58,314	(\$154)	99.73%
December 2025	\$64,898	(\$150)	-0.23%	\$64,748	\$64,898	(\$150)	99.76%
January 2026	\$42,212	(\$188)	-0.44%	\$42,024	\$41,314	\$710	101.71%
Cumulative Totals	\$1,577,816	(\$65,058)	-4.12%	\$1,512,758	\$1,513,482	(\$724)	99.95%
<i>State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement</i>							96.00%



THP MHT CHIP ENTIRE PLAN MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 5 — The Health Plan of West Virginia Mountain Health Trust CHIP (Entire Plan)

Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$703,885	(\$1,070)	-0.15%	\$702,815	\$683,620	\$19,195	102.80%
March 2024	\$1,141,760	(\$6,223)	-0.54%	\$1,135,537	\$1,103,074	\$32,462	102.94%
April 2024	\$731,968	(\$34,989)	-4.78%	\$696,980	\$702,329	(\$5,350)	99.23%
May 2024	\$1,345,709	(\$57,737)	-4.29%	\$1,287,972	\$1,246,481	\$41,491	103.32%
June 2024	\$1,003,471	(\$78,622)	-7.83%	\$924,849	\$983,397	(\$58,548)	94.04%
July 2024	\$906,598	(\$38,891)	-4.28%	\$867,707	\$883,663	(\$15,957)	98.19%
August 2024	\$825,258	\$119,750	14.51%	\$945,008	\$807,241	\$137,767	117.06%
September 2024	\$1,009,249	(\$88,726)	-8.79%	\$920,523	\$893,327	\$27,196	103.04%
October 2024	\$1,090,684	(\$19,373)	-1.77%	\$1,071,311	\$1,084,787	(\$13,476)	98.75%
November 2024	\$1,386,514	(\$152,098)	-10.96%	\$1,234,416	\$1,193,605	\$40,811	103.41%
December 2024	\$930,944	(\$44,501)	-4.78%	\$886,443	\$894,340	(\$7,898)	99.11%
January 2025	\$690,983	(\$46,844)	-6.77%	\$644,138	\$644,685	(\$547)	99.91%
February 2025	\$987,041	(\$82,528)	-8.36%	\$904,513	\$916,774	(\$12,261)	98.66%
March 2025	\$903,850	(\$16,734)	-1.85%	\$887,116	\$885,150	\$1,966	100.22%
April 2025	\$1,350,855	(\$116,120)	-8.59%	\$1,234,735	\$1,246,541	(\$11,806)	99.05%
May 2025	\$1,204,912	(\$39,027)	-3.23%	\$1,165,885	\$1,173,169	(\$7,284)	99.37%
June 2025	\$872,867	(\$50,130)	-5.74%	\$822,737	\$819,981	\$2,757	100.33%
July 2025	\$1,366,640	(\$350,015)	-25.61%	\$1,016,625	\$1,021,728	(\$5,103)	99.50%
August 2025	\$1,096,677	(\$88,988)	-8.11%	\$1,007,690	\$975,803	\$31,887	103.26%
September 2025	\$1,074,236	(\$28,757)	-2.67%	\$1,045,479	\$1,040,807	\$4,672	100.44%
October 2025	\$1,560,548	(\$150,035)	-9.61%	\$1,410,513	\$1,394,691	\$15,823	101.13%
November 2025	\$1,164,707	(\$50,453)	-4.33%	\$1,114,254	\$1,087,607	\$26,647	102.45%
December 2025	\$1,129,033	(\$154,338)	-13.66%	\$974,695	\$961,531	\$13,163	101.36%
January 2026	\$900,516	(\$49,845)	-5.53%	\$850,670	\$896,630	(\$45,960)	94.87%
Cumulative Totals	\$25,378,904	(\$1,626,294)	-6.40%	\$23,752,610	\$23,540,962	\$211,647	100.89%
100% Limited^ Cumulative Totals				\$23,532,304	\$23,540,962	(\$8,658)	99.96%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

^ - Since the CHIP cumulative completion percentage for the MCO and/or delegated vendor(s) exceed 100 percent, we have decreased the Entire Plan CHIP encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs to avoid overstating the Entire Plan results. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.



THP MHT CHIP SUMMARY REPORTING CHARTS

Chart 3. Monthly CDJ totals and encounter submissions for THP MHT CHIP's entire plan





Chart 4. THP MHT CHIP's monthly encounter submissions expressed as a percentage of payments submitted to the FAC to reported MCO CDJ payments for the entire plan



^ - To avoid overstating the Entire Plan results in situations where the MCO or an individual vendor's cumulative completion percentage exceeds 100 percent, we have decreased the CHIP encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.





THP MHT CHIP FEE-FOR-SERVICE MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 6 — THP MHT CHIP Fee-for-Service (Non-Vendor)

Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$520,550	\$2,612	0.50%	\$523,162	\$499,167	\$23,995	104.80%
March 2024	\$921,843	(\$3,536)	-0.38%	\$918,307	\$878,952	\$39,354	104.47%
April 2024	\$527,650	(\$8,886)	-1.68%	\$518,764	\$529,941	(\$11,178)	97.89%
May 2024	\$1,001,250	\$15,895	1.58%	\$1,017,144	\$1,008,187	\$8,957	100.88%
June 2024	\$791,001	(\$69,169)	-8.74%	\$721,832	\$790,158	(\$68,326)	91.35%
July 2024	\$731,236	(\$38,319)	-5.24%	\$692,917	\$709,091	(\$16,174)	97.71%
August 2024	\$596,291	\$120,415	20.19%	\$716,705	\$579,481	\$137,224	123.68%
September 2024	\$835,324	(\$86,152)	-10.31%	\$749,173	\$722,045	\$27,127	103.75%
October 2024	\$901,126	(\$18,875)	-2.09%	\$882,251	\$897,681	(\$15,429)	98.28%
November 2024	\$1,116,951	(\$151,612)	-13.57%	\$965,340	\$925,041	\$40,299	104.35%
December 2024	\$762,430	(\$44,501)	-5.83%	\$717,928	\$725,454	(\$7,526)	98.96%
January 2025	\$547,114	(\$45,912)	-8.39%	\$501,202	\$501,357	(\$155)	99.96%
February 2025	\$816,277	(\$82,240)	-10.07%	\$734,037	\$746,393	(\$12,356)	98.34%
March 2025	\$727,445	(\$16,185)	-2.22%	\$711,260	\$708,446	\$2,814	100.39%
April 2025	\$1,175,475	(\$116,120)	-9.87%	\$1,059,355	\$1,070,334	(\$10,979)	98.97%
May 2025	\$972,393	(\$36,248)	-3.72%	\$936,145	\$943,784	(\$7,639)	99.19%
June 2025	\$655,792	(\$45,589)	-6.95%	\$610,203	\$606,551	\$3,652	100.60%
July 2025	\$1,185,874	(\$349,302)	-29.45%	\$836,572	\$841,783	(\$5,212)	99.38%
August 2025	\$788,444	(\$18,218)	-2.31%	\$770,225	\$739,625	\$30,601	104.13%
September 2025	\$893,763	(\$28,757)	-3.21%	\$865,006	\$861,346	\$3,660	100.42%
October 2025	\$1,302,574	(\$135,705)	-10.41%	\$1,166,869	\$1,149,360	\$17,508	101.52%
November 2025	\$954,508	(\$50,453)	-5.28%	\$904,055	\$877,230	\$26,825	103.05%
December 2025	\$936,959	(\$153,607)	-16.39%	\$783,352	\$772,864	\$10,488	101.35%
January 2026	\$703,564	(\$49,667)	-7.05%	\$653,897	\$698,785	(\$44,888)	93.57%
Cumulative Totals	\$20,365,835	(\$1,410,133)	-6.92%	\$18,955,702	\$18,783,057	\$172,645	100.91%
100% Limited^ Cumulative Totals				\$18,783,057	\$18,783,057	\$0	100.00%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

^ - The fee-for-service (non-vendor) MHT CHIP cumulative completion percentage was limited to a maximum of 100 percent by decreasing the encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.



THP MHT CHIP SKYGEN DENTAL MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 7 — THP MHT CHIP Skygen Dental (Dental)

Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$170,691	(\$650)	-0.38%	\$170,041	\$174,701	(\$4,660)	97.33%
March 2024	\$207,579	(\$545)	-0.26%	\$207,035	\$213,927	(\$6,892)	96.77%
April 2024	\$191,279	(\$26,102)	-13.64%	\$165,177	\$159,349	\$5,828	103.65%
May 2024	\$242,437	(\$3,756)	-1.54%	\$238,681	\$241,890	(\$3,209)	98.67%
June 2024	\$187,008	(\$53)	-0.02%	\$186,956	\$187,008	(\$53)	99.97%
July 2024	\$164,876	\$0	0.00%	\$164,876	\$164,799	\$77	100.04%
August 2024	\$216,334	(\$17)	0.00%	\$216,317	\$215,731	\$586	100.27%
September 2024	\$161,239	\$0	0.00%	\$161,239	\$161,170	\$68	100.04%
October 2024	\$173,279	\$0	0.00%	\$173,279	\$173,351	(\$72)	99.95%
November 2024	\$256,350	(\$90)	-0.03%	\$256,260	\$256,224	\$35	100.01%
December 2024	\$157,796	\$0	0.00%	\$157,796	\$157,906	(\$110)	99.93%
January 2025	\$137,317	(\$792)	-0.57%	\$136,525	\$136,957	(\$432)	99.68%
February 2025	\$161,251	(\$222)	-0.13%	\$161,029	\$160,935	\$95	100.05%
March 2025	\$166,264	(\$549)	-0.33%	\$165,715	\$166,563	(\$848)	99.49%
April 2025	\$159,413	\$0	0.00%	\$159,413	\$160,087	(\$674)	99.57%
May 2025	\$223,687	(\$2,778)	-1.24%	\$220,909	\$220,687	\$222	100.10%
June 2025	\$207,094	(\$4,541)	-2.19%	\$202,553	\$203,459	(\$907)	99.55%
July 2025	\$170,334	(\$712)	-0.41%	\$169,621	\$169,513	\$108	100.06%
August 2025	\$296,892	(\$70,769)	-23.83%	\$226,123	\$224,902	\$1,221	100.54%
September 2025	\$169,254	\$0	0.00%	\$169,254	\$168,242	\$1,012	100.60%
October 2025	\$248,963	(\$14,330)	-5.75%	\$234,633	\$236,319	(\$1,686)	99.28%
November 2025	\$200,492	\$0	0.00%	\$200,492	\$200,670	(\$178)	99.91%
December 2025	\$178,681	\$0	0.00%	\$178,681	\$175,941	\$2,740	101.55%
January 2026	\$188,574	(\$179)	-0.09%	\$188,395	\$189,326	(\$931)	99.50%
Cumulative Totals	\$4,637,085	(\$126,085)	-2.71%	\$4,511,001	\$4,519,658	(\$8,658)	99.80%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%



THP MHT CHIP SUPERIOR VISION MONTHLY TABLE

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

Table 8 — THP MHT CHIP Superior Vision (Vision)

Paid Month	Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)	Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)	Percentage of Encounters Adjusted	Monthly Encounter Net Total	CDJ Monthly Reported Total	Monthly Variance	Monthly Completion Percentage
February 2024	\$12,645	(\$3,032)	-23.98%	\$9,612	\$9,753	(\$140)	98.55%
March 2024	\$12,338	(\$2,142)	-17.36%	\$10,195	\$10,195	\$0	100.00%
April 2024	\$13,039	\$0	0.00%	\$13,039	\$13,039	\$0	100.00%
May 2024	\$102,022	(\$69,875)	-68.49%	\$32,146	(\$3,597)	\$35,743	-893.80%
June 2024	\$25,461	(\$9,401)	-36.92%	\$16,061	\$6,230	\$9,830	257.77%
July 2024	\$10,486	(\$572)	-5.45%	\$9,914	\$9,774	\$140	101.43%
August 2024	\$12,633	(\$648)	-5.13%	\$11,985	\$12,029	(\$44)	99.63%
September 2024	\$12,686	(\$2,575)	-20.29%	\$10,111	\$10,111	\$0	100.00%
October 2024	\$16,279	(\$498)	-3.06%	\$15,781	\$13,755	\$2,026	114.72%
November 2024	\$13,213	(\$396)	-2.99%	\$12,817	\$12,340	\$477	103.86%
December 2024	\$10,718	\$0	0.00%	\$10,718	\$10,980	(\$262)	97.61%
January 2025	\$6,552	(\$140)	-2.14%	\$6,411	\$6,371	\$40	100.63%
February 2025	\$9,512	(\$65)	-0.68%	\$9,446	\$9,446	\$0	100.00%
March 2025	\$10,141	\$0	0.00%	\$10,141	\$10,141	\$0	100.00%
April 2025	\$15,967	\$0	0.00%	\$15,967	\$16,120	(\$154)	99.04%
May 2025	\$8,831	\$0	0.00%	\$8,831	\$8,699	\$133	101.52%
June 2025	\$9,981	\$0	0.00%	\$9,981	\$9,971	\$11	100.10%
July 2025	\$10,432	\$0	0.00%	\$10,432	\$10,432	\$0	100.00%
August 2025	\$11,342	\$0	0.00%	\$11,342	\$11,276	\$65	100.58%
September 2025	\$11,219	\$0	0.00%	\$11,219	\$11,219	\$0	100.00%
October 2025	\$9,011	\$0	0.00%	\$9,011	\$9,011	\$0	100.00%
November 2025	\$9,707	\$0	0.00%	\$9,707	\$9,707	\$0	100.00%
December 2025	\$13,393	(\$732)	-5.46%	\$12,661	\$12,726	(\$65)	99.48%
January 2026	\$8,378	\$0	0.00%	\$8,378	\$8,518	(\$140)	98.35%
Cumulative Totals	\$375,984	(\$90,077)	-23.95%	\$285,907	\$238,247	\$47,660	120.00%
100% Limited^ Cumulative Totals				\$238,247	\$238,247	\$0	100.00%
State Contract Minimum Completeness Percentage Requirement							96.00%

^ - The Superior Vision CHIP cumulative completion percentage was limited to a maximum of 100 percent by decreasing the encounter totals by the reporting period's variance in comparison to the CDJs. Please reference data analysis assumption number 10 on page 21 for further explanation.



APPENDIX A – DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

The following terms are used throughout this document:

- **Bureau for Medical Services (BMS)** – The division in the Department of Human Services that is responsible for administering Medicaid in West Virginia.
- **Calculated Void Encounter (CV)** – An encounter that Myers and Stauffer LC has identified as being a replacement encounter that does not appear to have a corresponding void of the original encounter in the FAC's data warehouse.
- **Cash Disbursement Journal (CDJ) Monthly Reported Total** – The sum of all payments from a MCO or delegated vendor to service providers for a given month as reported by the MCO.
- **Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)** – This program provides insurance coverage for uninsured children up to age 19 and pregnant women whose family does not qualify for Medicaid and whose income does not exceed 300% of the federal poverty level. There are four managed care organizations responsible for coordinating services for West Virginia CHIP beneficiaries – Aetna Better Health of West Virginia (ABHWV), Highmark Health Options of West Virginia (HHOWV), The Health Plan of West Virginia (THP), and Wellpoint of West Virginia (Wellpoint).
- **Fiscal Agent Contractor (FAC)** – A contractor selected to design, develop, and maintain the claims processing system (Medicaid Management Information System); Gainwell Technologies (Gainwell) is the current FAC.
- **Gainwell Technologies** - State fiscal agent contractor.
- **Managed Care Organization (MCO)** – A private organization that has entered into a risk-based contractual arrangement with the West Virginia Bureau for Medical Services (BMS) to obtain and finance care for enrolled Medicaid members. MCOs receive a capitation or per member per month (PMPM) payment from BMS for each enrolled member. As of August 1, 2024, four MCOs were operating in the state of West Virginia. They are Aetna Better Health of West Virginia (ABHWV), Highmark Health Options of West Virginia (HHOWV), the Health Plan of West Virginia (THP), and Wellpoint of West Virginia (Wellpoint).
- **Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)** – The claims processing system used by the FAC to adjudicate West Virginia Medicaid claims. MCO submitted encounters are loaded into this system and assigned a unique claim identifier.
- **Monthly Completion Percentage** – The percentage of the monthly encounter total in relation to the CDJ monthly reported total, net of adjustments.
- **Monthly Encounter Net Total** – The sum of the encounter submissions for a given month incorporating the Myers and Stauffer LC encounter data adjustments made to the encounter submissions stored in the FAC's encounter data warehouse.
- **Monthly Encounter Total (Adjustments)** – The sum of all Myers and Stauffer LC adjustments for a given month that were removed from the encounter submissions stored in the FAC's encounter data warehouse.

WV THP Encounter and CDJ Comparison

- **Monthly Encounter Total (FAC Reported)** – The sum of all encounter submissions for a given month stored in the FAC’s encounter data warehouse.
- **Monthly Variance** – The difference between the monthly encounter total and the CDJ monthly reported total for a given month, net of adjustments.
- **Mountain Health Promise (MHP)** – The state of West Virginia’s specialized managed care program for children and youth. Mountain Health Promise assists children in foster care, kinship care and adoptive care, including members eligible for the Children with Serious Emotional Disorder Waiver (CSEDW) and youth formerly in foster care up to age twenty-six. The sole managed care organization responsible for coordinating services for MHP is Aetna Better Health of West Virginia (ABHWV).
- **Mountain Health Trust (MHT)** – The state of West Virginia’s Medicaid managed care program. Populations covered under Mountain Health Trust include most adults and children, pregnant women, and members receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI) as well as CHIP members effective January 1, 2021. There are four managed care organizations responsible for coordinating services for West Virginia Medicaid beneficiaries – Aetna Better Health of West Virginia (ABHWV), Highmark Health Options of West Virginia (HHOWV), the Health Plan of West Virginia (THP), and Wellpoint of West Virginia (Wellpoint).
- **Potential Duplicate Encounter (PDUP)** – An encounter that Myers and Stauffer LC has identified as being a potential duplicate of another encounter in the FAC’s data warehouse.



APPENDIX B – ANALYSIS

Encounters from institutional, medical, and dental service types were combined on like data fields. We analyzed the information reported on each encounter to capture the amount paid on the entire claim. Encounter totals were calculated by summarizing the data by the MCO paid date, MCO identification number, and specific delegated vendor and program criteria. Each cash disbursement submitted by the MCO was summarized by paid date, MCO program identifier, and delegated vendor to create a matching table. These matching tables were combined using common fields and were used to produce the results.

Based on criteria noted in our review of the encounter data, we identified THP encounters as follows:

MCO and Program Identification:

❖ THP MHT Encounters

- Submitter ID of The Health Plan
- Encounters with a Plan ID of 'BPD000000000030' or Program ID of 'PGMD000000000004'.
- Members with an eligibility Plan ID of 'BPD000000000030' or Program ID of 'PGMD000000000004' on the encounter date of service
- All other THP encounters not identified as CHIP are defaulted to MHT

❖ THP MHT CHIP Encounters

- Submitter ID of The Health Plan
- Dates of service beginning in January 2021
- Encounters with a Plan ID of 'BPD0000001171622' or 'BPD0000001171623' or Program ID of 'PGMD000000000032'.
- Members with an eligibility Plan ID of 'BPD0000001171622' or 'BPD0000001171623' or Program ID of 'PGMD000000000032' on the encounter date of service

Delegated Vendor Identification:

❖ Skygen – Dental Services

- Claims Type Code value of 'DTL'

❖ Superior Vision – Vision Services

- Plan ICN starts with SV0

❖ THP – Fee-for-Service (Non-Vendor)

- All other plan submitted encounters that do not meet the listed criteria. This includes THP non-vendor (fee-for-service).





APPENDIX C – DATA ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

1. We assume that all data provided to Myers and Stauffer is complete and accurate.
2. Voided encounter records contained within the encounter submissions were coded to match the associated adjustment's paid date to allow for the proper matching of cash disbursements that occurred due to this void transaction. However, we were unable to assign a paid date to the void transactions in which there was not an associated adjustment encounter. This may be contributing to monthly completion percentage variances when the original payment and void do not occur within the same month.
3. We identified that all encounters submitted as voids (frequency code 8) had MCO paid amounts greater than or equal to zero. Based on a review of these records and confirmation from Gainwell, we have ensured that all non-zero voids have negative paid amounts.
4. We have noted instances where the MCO submits an encounter as a replacement/adjustment (frequency code 7) or void (frequency code 8), but the associated backout (offsetting negative record) and/or the claim ID for the original record is not included in our data extracts. Based on our discussions with Gainwell, if the original claim ID (Loop 2300 REF01 = F8, REF02 = Original Claim ID) on frequency 7 or 8 encounter submission does not match to a unique claim ID submitted for a previously accepted encounter (Loop 2300 REF01 = D9, REF02 = Claim ID) or the MMIS ICN, the original claim ID is not identified and a backout is not created.
5. We instructed the MCOs to exclude referral fees, management fees, and other non-encounter related fees in the CDJ data submitted to Myers and Stauffer.
6. Interest amounts do not appear to be included in the MCO paid amounts. We have therefore excluded the separately itemized interest expense from the CDJ totals.
7. There are instances of encounter records with zero-dollar line MCO paid amounts and non-zero header MCO paid amounts. For the purposes of this reconciliation, we are using the header MCO paid amounts.
8. We have separately identified MHT Medicaid and MHT CHIP program encounters based on the criteria listed in Appendix B and CDJ transactions based on MCO and/or delegated vendor submitted identifiers. However, we have noted some differences between records identified for MHT and CHIP when the encounter data and CDJ files are compared. These mismatched program identifiers may be contributing to some of the completion percentage variances, but do not appear to be impacting compliance cumulatively.
9. Percentage ratios noted in this report are rounded down. The sum of the percentages may not add up to the percentage sum totals (Tables A through D).
10. Cumulative completion percentages exceeding 100 percent were noted for THP's fee-for-service (non-vendor) MHT and CHIP and Superior Vision CHIP totals. So that the impacted amounts do not overstate the Entire Plan MHT and CHIP results, we have decreased the encounter monthly reported totals by the variance between the encounter data and cash disbursement journals. Therefore, the cumulative completion percentages are decreased to a maximum of 100 percent (Tables A, B, 1, 2, 5, 6, and 8; Charts 2 and 4).
11. Opportunities for improving the encounter reconciliation process have been identified during the analysis of the encounter data and cash disbursement journals, as well as frequent interactions with the MCOs, their delegated vendors, BMS, and the FAC. While we have attempted to account for these situations, other potential issues within the data may exist that have not yet been identified which may require us to restate a report or modify reconciliation processes in the future.

